

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
CLARKSBURG**

QUINNE POWELL,

Petitioner,

v.

**Civil Action No. 1:18-cv-149
(Judge Kleeh)**

JENNIFER SAAD,

Respondent.

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION [DKT. NO. 11] AND
DISMISSING THE PETITION AS MOOT [DKT. NO. 1]**

On August 2, 2018, the pro se Petitioner, Quinne Powell ("Petitioner"), an inmate at FCI Hazelton in Bruceton Mills, West Virginia, filed a petition in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Dkt. No. 1] challenging his life sentence and requesting that it be vacated.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 and the local rules, the Court referred the action to United States Magistrate Judge Michael J. Aloi for initial review. On April 20, 2021, the Magistrate Judge entered a Report and Recommendation ("R&R"), recommending that the Court dismiss the petition as moot based on Petitioner's release from custody on October 4, 2019 [Dkt. No. 11 at 5].

The R&R also informed the parties that they "shall have fourteen (14) days (filing of objections) and then three days (mailing/service), from the date of filing this Report and

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION [DKT. NO. 11]

Recommendation" within which to file "specific written objections, identifying the portions of the Report and Recommendation to which objection is made, and the basis of such objection" [Dkt. No. 11 at 6]. It further warned that the "[f]ailure to file written objections . . . shall constitute a waiver of de novo review by the District Court and a waiver of appellate review by the Circuit Court of Appeals" [Id.]. The docket reflects that service of the R&R was accepted April 23, 2021 [Dkt. No. 12]. To date, no objections have been filed.

When reviewing a magistrate judge's R&R, the Court must review de novo only the portions to which an objection has been timely made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Otherwise, "the Court may adopt, without explanation, any of the magistrate judge's recommendations" to which there are no objections. Dellarcirprete v. Gutierrez, 479 F. Supp. 2d 600, 603-04 (N.D.W. Va. 2007) (citing Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983)). Courts will uphold portions of a recommendation to which no objection has been made unless they are clearly erroneous. See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

Because no party has objected, the Court is under no obligation to conduct a de novo review. Accordingly, the Court reviewed the R&R for clear error. This Court agrees that the petition should be dismissed as moot as Petitioner was released

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION [DKT. NO. 11]

from Bureau of Prison ("BOP") custody on October 4, 2019. Accordingly, upon careful review, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** the R&R [Dkt. No. 11]. The § 2241 Petition [Dkt. No. 1] is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**. The Court further **ORDERS** that this matter be **STRICKEN** from the Court's active docket and **DIRECTS** the Clerk to enter judgment in favor of Respondent.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to the pro se Petitioner via certified mail, return receipt requested.

DATED: July 1, 2021

/s/ Thomas S. Kleeh
THOMAS S. KLEEH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE